



#### **INFORMATION SECURITY POLICY**

**MOD-520** 

#### [check 5.1] Objective of the information security policy

Ourorganization deals with analyzing the industrial and commercial data of client companies in order to support management in the process of taking management decisions.

#### Politicsappropriate to the purposes of the organization

Aware thatthe customer data, the results of their analysis, the addresses suggested to the customer and the survey methods (statistical analysis algorithms) constitute information whose value represents the corporate assets of our organization and that of the customer, we have implemented a management system for information security by providing for the development of all security controls applicable to the processing of information.

### Policy forset safety goals

Thanks to the implementation of the management system, we have determined the information security objectives that will see us committed, in each business process, to the preservation of:

- Confidentiality of data released by the customer
- Integrity of the information released by the customer and those relating to the data processing procedures we use to carry out the analyzes
- Availability of such information to persons authorized to manage and use them

#### Commitment policycompliance with applicable requirements

The commitment of top management and of all those who in various capacities are involved in the activities of the management system is to comply with all the requirements set out in the International Standard ISO 27001: 2017. For this reason, top management undertakes to exercise leadership in accordance with the provisions of this standard.

#### Commitment policy for the continuous improvement of the management system

The client's information assets and that relating to the know-how of our organization will henceforth constitute the focal points of everyone's commitment. A commitment made by each and every one.

This commitment will be manifested through the "security performances" which must show how effective our organization and our information security management system are in recording continuous improvement.





<< Company logo >>

### **RISK IDENTIFICATION AND ASSESSMENT**

MOD-610-A

### **IDENTIFICATIONAND RISK ASSESSMENT IN PRIMARY PROCESSES**

#### **PRIMARY PROCESSES**

Oflater we highlighted the primary processes. Such are those that characterize the typical activity of the organization (business) and which govern the operational activities related to the provision of the service and production

PROCESS	INFORMATIONFRO M TO PROTECT	DOCUMENTSTO PROTECT	ACTIVE ROLES
Requirements Design Outsourcing Production Check output	<ul> <li>Data commercial</li> <li>Contracts</li> <li>Partnership agreements</li> <li>Projects</li> <li>Project requirements</li> <li>Design mode</li> <li>Strategiesand needs</li> <li>Launch of new products</li> <li>Costsand production times</li> <li>Financial informationAnd contractual</li> <li>Customer names and suppliers</li> <li>Technical data</li> <li>Patents, tooin progress registration</li> <li>Designs and solutions of design</li> <li>Plansand production schemes</li> <li>Plansand design schemes</li> <li>Plansand analysis schemes e development requirements</li> <li>Supply specifications         <ul> <li>And of opera</li> <li>Technical drawings</li> <li>Algorithm specifications</li> <li>Project performance (times, technologies, results)</li> <li>Plansand control mode in general activity productive</li> <li>Processes, formulas</li> <li>Personal data details</li></ul></li></ul>	LABELING IC-A= level A critical information  MANAGEMENT PROCEDURES PROC-812 Requirements PROC-813 Design PROC- 814 Outsourcing PROC- 815 Production PROC- 816 Preservation PROC-817 Control of non-conforming outputs  FORMS IN WORD  MOD-812-A Collectionand review of requirements MOD-813-A Project plan MOD-813-B Project inputs MOD-813-C Design review MOD-813-D Design verification MOD-813-E Design validation MOD-813-F Design outputs MOD-813-G Design changes MOD-814-B Supplier evaluation sheet MOD-814-C Request for quotation MOD-814-D Purchase order MOD- 814-E Change request MOD-815-A Production plan MOD-815-C Validation of production MOD-815-E Product delivery MOD- 815-F Customer Property MOD- 815-G Intervention request MOD- 815-H Intervention request MOD- 817-A Quality control  FORMS IN EXCEL (APPLICATIONS MANAGEMENT) MOD-815-B Identification and traceability MOD-817-B Non-compliant products	<ul> <li>High management</li> <li>RDP (marketing)</li> <li>RDP (design)</li> <li>RDP (production)</li> <li>RDP (assistance)</li> <li>RDP (purchases)</li> <li>RGSI (responsible management system safety information)</li> <li>OP (production)</li> </ul>





## **RISK IDENTIFICATION AND ASSESSMENT**

MOD-610-A

### **IDENTIFICATIONAND RISK ASSESSMENT IN PRIMARY PROCESSES**

ARISING THREATSBY PEOPLE EMPLOY	YED IN PRIMARY PROCESSES
THREATS / DANGERS	ASSESSMENT RISKS
<ul> <li>Stealing for use personaland for sale (profit)</li> <li>Aiding in the competition (infidelity)</li> <li>Attackto continuity operationalor reputation to the organization (retaliation)</li> <li>Trialof their skills computer science of intrusion</li> <li>Insufficient preparation technique inabout:</li> </ul>	Analyses of the risks in relation to: the factors of the context factors, the needs and expectations of the parties interestedand the dangers identified  The information inherent to primary processes can generate large profits if:  Used on their own Resold to those who intend to use them
management netand devices, system informative, database, malware, devices, techniques e strategies of protection, software development, management accidents  Insufficient reliability Superficialityand carelessness	Peopleemployed in primary processes possess, by reason of their role, sufficient skills to carry out effective attacks  RISK ASSESSMENT IN THE ABSENCEOF SECURITY CHECKS  LOSS OF P. C. R. LEVEL CONFIDENTIALI 3 9 Tall INTEGRITY 3 9 Tall AVAILABILITY 2 6 6 Medium
<ul> <li>(ex: voluntary disclosureor accidentalpassword)</li> <li>Absence of motivation to respectof the Policies security by the staff in general</li> <li>Unawarenesscriticality information and procedures of treatment</li> <li>Presence of vulnerability techniques in processes of managementand protection of information</li> </ul>	Chance that the event occurs, possible values: 1,2,3,4 (unlikely, occasional, likely,very likely)  Consequence and extent of damage resulting from the event, possible values: 1,2,3,4 (slight, medium, severe, very serious)  Risk: up to and including 3: low; 4 to 8 inclusive: medium; 9 to 16 inclusive: high  Acceptability: untilto 3 inclusive (if greater than 3, controls must be applied more)





### **RISK IDENTIFICATION AND ASSESSMENT**

MOD-610-A

### RELATIONFINAL ON THE IDENTIFICATION AND RISK ASSESSMENT

The organization hasimplemented all controls and documented their application in the MOD-610-B-document

The organization, thanks to the application of controls for the **safety** listed in Annex A (Annex A -ISO 27001: 2017), presents the following general situation regarding information risks.

#### **PRIMARY**

RISKSFRO	BY PEOPLE					PHYSICAL				
М	P.	C.	R.	LEVEL	P.	C.	R.	LEVEL		
CONFIDENTIALITY	1	3	3	Bass		3	3	Bass		
INTEGRITY	1	3	3	Bass	Ī.	3	3	Bass		
AVAILABILITY	1	3	3	Bass	T .	3	3	Bass		

#### **PROCESSESSUPPORT**

RISKSFRO	BY PEOPLE					PHYSICAL				
М	P.	C.	R.	LEVEL	Р.	C.	R.	LEVEL		
CONFIDENTIALITY	1	2	2	Bass		2	2	Bass		
INTEGRITY	1	2	2	Bass		2	2	Bass		
AVAILABILITY	1	2	2	Bass		2	2	Bass		

#### **SECURITY PROCESSES**

RISKSFRO	BY PEOPLE					PHYSICAL			
M	P.	C.	R.	LEVEL	Р.	C.	R.	LEVEL	
CONFIDENTIALITY	1	3	3	Bass		3	3	Bass	
INTEGRITY	1	3	3	Bass		3	3	Bass	
AVAILABILITY	1	3	3	Bass		3	3	Bass	

Allthe risks identified in the processes show an R value of no more than 3 and are therefore acceptable.

Signature of Senior Management	
Risk assessment date	
RGSI signature for information acquisition	





### Information security related topeople

#### **THREATS (DANGERS)**

Thereinformation security that depends on people, their behavior, their intentions can be put at risk, as we have already documented in module MOD-610-A - Identification and evaluation **risk**, from the following threats:

- Stealing forpersonal use and for sale (profit)
- Aiding and abettingcompetition (infidelity)
- Attack on the business continuity or reputation of the organization (retaliation)
- Trialof their computer intrusion skills
- Insufficient technical preparation inabout: network and device management, information system, database, malware, devices, protection techniques and strategies, software development, incident management
- Insufficient reliability
- Inappropriate managementpasswords (voluntary or accidental disclosure)
- Lack of motivation for staff in general to comply with safety policies
- Errors and / or omissions in verifying the correspondence between: profiles determined by the accounts (roles) and related authorizations in accordance with that determined by management
- Unawareness of the criticality of information and treatment procedures
- Presence of technical vulnerabilities in communication processes information

#### and data stealing from:

- USB sticks
- Send e-mail attachments
- Acquisition of paper documentsor copy them
- Revelationspoken or written to third parties
- Upload to the cloud personal
- Take photos and video footage
- Recordersvoice / audio
- Interception of network communication

### coming from sourcesWhich:

- Persons authorized to access information
- Subjects notauthorized
- Events

Where the sourceis identified in unauthorized subjects, unauthorized access would also result.





## 10 CRYPTOGRAPHY

10.1	Crv	nto	gran	hic	: ch	ecks	
TO.T	<b>⊂</b> . y			<u> </u>		CUICO	

Objective: To ensure acorrect and effective use of encryption to protect confidentiality, authenticity and / orthe integrity of the information

orthe integrity of the information									
POINT	CATEGORY	CHECKS	No.	IN	IMPLEMENTATION	RESPONSIBLE			
10.1.1	Politics on the use of controls cryptograp hic	It needs to be developed and implemented a policy on the use of controls cryptographic for the protectionfrom the information	40	YE S	Therepolicy on the use of controls cryptographic for protectionfrom the informationwas developed and implemented in the organization and is been documented in the procedure	High management			
10.1.2	Managem ent of the keys	It needs to be developed and implemented a policy on use, on protection and on the duration of key cryptographicthrough their wholelife cycle	41	YE S	Data under cryptographic protection are loadedvia API of automated applications in the software that manages the cryptographic "Software name" And are encrypted. The information is encrypted according to itadvanced standard AES256. The software handles all stages of the key life cycle cryptographic. The phases are the following:  Management pre-active state Management deactivation Management destruction  The ruleson protection and on duration cryptographic keys, throughout their entire life cycle, are documented in the procedure from PSI-05 security Encryption	High direction			





# 12 SAFETY OF OPERATIONAL ACTIVITIES

Objective: Record events and generate evidence										
POINT	CATEGORY	CHECKS	No.	IN	IMPLEMENTATION	RESPONSIBLE				
12.4.1	Collection of log of events	The registration of event log, of the activities of users, from the exceptions, of the malfunctions and of events relating to safetyfrom the information needs to be carried out, maintainedAnd reviewed	63	YE S	The organization planned to install in network of a log management software called "Application name".  As governed by the PSI-12 procedure - Operational safety, the organization carries out, maintains and reviews periodically logging through the application it allowsthe following security checks:  Generation of log Storage of log Analyses of the logs Monitoring of log Protection of log	RGSI				
12.4.2	Protection from the informatio n ni of log	The facilities for the collection of log and le information of log they must be protected by tampering And accesses Not	64	YE S	Protection of log takes place considering the importance ofdata present in the logs. Like specifiedin the PSI-12 procedure - Operational safety, security measures applied consist in put control of authentication for access to thelog file and the checkdata encryption (encryption) concerning to the logs	RGSI				
12.4.3	Log of administer s bulls And operators	The activities of the administrators And of the operators of system must be submitted log, and these must be protected And reviewed periodically	65	YE S	As governed by the PSI-12 procedure - Safety operational, the activities of all users (especially those of the administrator of system it has notable which has wide access rights) are submittedto the recording of the related logs.  Such log are protected by the measures of the control of log in and are periodically reviewed for the purpose of identifying the business in progressand look for signs of problems imminent. This procedureit is expected from procedure PSI-12 -	RGSI				





### **OBJECTIVE SHEET**

**MOD-620-A** 

6				- 6
	а	r	σ	Δ1

#### **Objective name**

### **Network security and communications**

Achieve an adequate level of security in the network and communications

#### Description of the objective

Theresecurity in the operation of the network and communications must be pursued in relation to:

- Threatsenvironmental physics
- Voluntary attacks from the outside
- Voluntary attacks from within
- Events fornegligence
- Events for incompetence

The safety index must have a value of not less than 5 (scale from 1 to 5) by 12/31/2021. It will be measured through the administration of evaluation questionnaires by users

#### Responsibility

**RGSI** 

#### Objective coding through the SMART technique

Record an index of security that we can define "of the network and communications" which results not inferior to 5, by 12/31/2021 being willing to invest 2,100 euros in innovation on the management system and information security

Date	
Author	





### **2021 PLANNING INFORMATION SECURITY**

TARGET	RESULT	EXPIRATION	RESOURCES	RESPONSIBLE	СНЕСК
Safetyof resources human	Indexsafety = 5	31/12/2021	2,100€	RGSI	
Safetyof the network and of the communications	Indexsafety = 5	31/12/2021	2,100€	RGSI	
Safetyof the quality of the formation	Indexsafety = 5	31/12/2021	2,100€	RGSI	
Software security	Indexsafety = 5	31/12/2021	2,100€	RGSI	
Device security from processing	Indexsafety = 5	31/12/2021	2,100€	RGSI	
Safetyof the headquarters and of the archives	Indexsafety = 5	31/12/2021	3,100€	RGSI	
Safetyof plants and devices of safety	Indexsafety = 5	31/12/2021	3,100€	RGSI	

Date	
HIGH MANAGEMENT	





#### **Assessment**

AREAOF WORK	DATE	PERSON
Ex:administrative offices		

Our organization intends to preserve security of the software

We are giving you this questionnaireso that, in relation to his experience, he can express his personal one evaluation in this regard.

We also ask youto describe any shortcomings especially in cases where the evaluation was not positive. The values in increasing sense range from 1 to 5 and express the following evaluations:

SCORE	EVALUATION (expressed through the indicative sentence)
1	Security is notit is absolutely adequate, it needs to be redesigned
2	The securityit is poorly adequate, needs intervention
3	The securityit is sufficient but needs improvement
4	The securityit is adequate
5	The securityit's excellent

INDICATORS (indicates the level protection that you recognize against the following			ASSESSMENT			
No.	Requirement	1	2	3	4	5
1	Physical threats environmental			$\boxtimes$		
2	Attacks volunteers from outside				X	
3	Attacks volunteers from within		×			
4	Events due to negligence					☒
5	Events for incompetence					

REPORT ANY POSSIBLE	
DANGERS	
INEFFICIENCIES	
DEFICIENCIESOF CAPACITY	
TECHNICAL VULNERABILITIES	





#### **WANTED PROFILE**

**MOD-720-A** 

#### **WANTED PROFILE**

#### **ROLE WANTED**

Responsible of process: ICT

#### **TASKSTO ASSIGN**

1 Management and maintenance of the organization's IT network 2

Server management and maintenance

3 Maintenance of electronic devices (PC and device) 4

Repair and maintenance of hardware devices

5 Checking the wiring

#### **TITLEOF STUDY**

Degree in information science or computer engineering

#### **EXPERIENCE**

At least two years in the field

#### REQUIREMENTSRELIABILITY

1 Letter of presentation of reliability (from authoritative contact person eg: former employer, former manager) 2 Absence of criminal proceedings related to cybercrime

#### PREVIOUS KNOWLEDGE NECESSARY

- 1 TongueEnglish
- 2 Techniques of descriptive and inferential statistics

#### **INTERNAL COMPETENCETO ACQUIRE**

- 1 Project management
- 2 Processes productive
- 3 Process operational activities

#### INTERNAL AWARENESSTO ACQUIRE

1 Information security policy 2 Objectives

for information security

3 Information security risks and controls to address them 4

Context of the organization

5 Benefits of the information security management system

Date	
Signature	





## **TRAINING TEST**

MOD-720-D

### **TEST FOR THE DETECTION OF THE COMPETENCE AND AWARENESS OF THE Learner**

	IFΩ		
III	IF( )	KI.	ıx

□ Reply n ° 3

This module is for the trainerto carry out the test to be submitted to company staff at the end of the training sessions.

In relation to the contents of the course, the trainer creates the questions and the related set of answers of which only one will be the valid one. For each question, the person examined will have to select the answer they deem correct and therefore, at the end of the 5 requirements we will have 5 answers selected.

have 5 answers selec		it the answer they deem correct and therefore, at the end of the	3 requirements we will
	will come then reported by the tr	vay that, in the face of the 5 questions, the score can vary from z rainer in the form MOD-720-C-Training Register in order to ke	
		CANDIDATE	
	FIRST NAMEAND		
	OFFICE		
NAME OF THE TEST			
SECURITY RISKS OF	INFORMATION		
1st QUESTION			
<< Question to be i	inserted by the teacher >>		
CORRECT ANSWER			
☐ Reply n ° 1			
☐ Reply n ° 2			
☐ Reply n ° 3			
2nd QUESTION			
<< Question to be	e inserted by the teacher >>	>	
CORRECT ANSWER			
□ Reply n ° 1			
☐ Reply n ° 2			
☐ Reply n ° 3			
3rd QUESTION			
	serted by the teacher>>		
CORRECT ANSWER			
☐ Reply n ° 1			
□ Reply n ° 2			





DISCIPLINARY PROCESS	MOD-720-H
PROCESS	
DISCIPLINARY PROCESS NO	
RELATIONSHIPOF NC N °_	
PERSON INQUIRED	
Mario Rossi (administration operator)	
VIOLATIONSVERIFY AND RELATED DOCUMENTATION	
Unauthorized access attemptsinformation relating to the design process. Critical level information	nation TO.
The documentation consists of:	
<ul> <li>In the report issued on the occasion of the alert by the log management software</li> </ul>	
■ In the form MOD-1020-A- Non-conformity report N° filled in by the system administra	ator.
Defensive arguments of the suspect	
berefisive digunients of the suspect	
None of relief	
AFTERMATH ASCRIBABLE TO THE INDICATED VIOLATIONS	
None	
DISCIPLINARY MEASURES ESTABLISHED BY THE MANAGEMENT	
Towns are more and the consular month relationship (with a consular of max). Deposit of	
Temporary suspension of the employment relationship (with suspension of pay) Report of	
what happenedto the competent authorities	
Date	
Signature Top	
Signatureinvestigated	
RGSI signature	
Neor Signature	





#### **Management System Manual**

Description	Guy	Revision	Date	Position	Validity	Destruction
ТО	ТО	ТО	ТО	ТО	ТО	ТО

Le	σo	n	٨
LE	ຌຬ	ш	u

**Guy** Insert if internal or external document **Revision** Enter revision number (Example: 00)

Date Enter issue date

**Position** Insert position in the archive (Example: Folder X)

Validity To insert, if applicable, validity of the document (Example: 3 years)

Destruction Enter the retention years after the overrun (Example: 1 year)

Date	
AD signature for approval	





Transmitted

Please take charge of the attached documentation:								
Number of Description Identification Revision								
		<del>+</del> <del>-</del> -						
		‡ = = = =						
		1===1						
□ to take note of the content □ to return to the senderthis und	ersignedinspace provided together with	n the outdated docume	ent					
Broadcast to	Signaturefor receipt		Date of receipt					
		=====						
		=====						
Date	Signature							





Eupotiona	l reguiremen	teand in	formatio	a cocurity
Functiona		usano m		n security

Code

ofrequirement

Title of the

#### **Specification of the requirement**

<< describe in detail the function requested by the customer specifying, for example, what he asks to be able to do, in what circumstances, how, when, the actors, the times, the reasons, the limits, the conditions of

#### Importance of the requirement for the customer

<< explain the importance that the customer gives to the requirement and, if possible, the reasons for the importance considering the impact that the requirement has on customer satisfaction. In order to rank the requirement, give weight to importance using the following typology: high, medium, low >>

#### Criticality of the requirement for the organization

<< illustrate the critical issues that the organization will have to face for the subsequent treatment of the requirement. List any concerns due to present and / or future shortcomings and obstacles that the organization may encounter in the treatment of the requirement. If possible, also formulate a solution for each difficulty approach. To the in order to classify the criticality, to attribute an objective level of difficulty using the following typology: tall, medium low >>

## **Correlations with other requirements**

<< indicate briefly what are the correlations of the requirement with the other requirements >>





organization operating system)

ofmanagement

previous)

Name of the client			
Client Order			
Design manager			
Report manager frompar	t of the customer		
Address tel./mail resp. b	y the customer		
ivature, uuration anu c	oniplexity of the design		
ACTIVITY'	RESPONSIBLE	EXPIRATION	PROJECT OUTPUTS
Graphic interface design	n Ing. Guido Mariniello	23/09/2022	Interface style sheet
Algorithm design			graphics Structureof the formula in
Design of	Dr. Elena Lodigiano	09/28/2022	Matlab
	Ing. Claudio Storelli		
functions	(Coordinator of the	03/10/2022	Drawings





operating system of the organization

VERIFICATION OF THE DESIGN OF THE DAY	< <date>&gt;</date>

Name of the client	
Client Shop assistant	
Process manager	
Report manager frompart of the customer	
Contact tel./mail resp. by thecustomer	

## Inputand design outputs

Giveevidence of the correspondence between design inputs and design outputs so that it can be demonstrated that all inputs were covered by the design

Code	Input	Code	Output
<< 01 >>		<< 01 >>	
<< 02 >>		<< 02 >>	
<< 03 >>		<< 03 >>	
<< 04 >>		<< 04 >>	
<< 05 >>		<< 05 >>	
<< 06 >>		<< 06 >>	





	SUPPLIER AND TYPE C	IF SUPPLY			
MARINO SPA	- Hardware				
lst FACTOR					
Availability sh	own by the supplier t	towards the security	needs of the orga	anization	
EXPRESSYOUR EN  ☐ 1 - Poor	□ 2 - Mediocre	⊠3 - Sufficient		□5 - Excellent	
<u> </u>	□2 - Wedlocie	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
2nd FACTOR					
Effective pres	ence and the actual fu	unctioning of its infor	mation security r	management system	
EXPRESSYOUR EN	□2 - Mediocre	□3 - Sufficient	⊠4 - Good	□5 - Excellent	
□ 1 - Poor	□2 - Wediocie	□3 - Sujjicient	∆4 - G000	□3 - Excellent	
Brd FACTOR					
	ormation on its suppli	es, actual value to th	e organization		
EXPRESSYOUR EV					
□ 1 - Poor	□2 - Mediocre	□3 - Sufficient	⊠4 - Good	□5 - Excellent	
Ith FACTOR					
Offer or possi	bility of information s	security assistance de	emed necessary	by the organization	
XPRESSYOUR EV	VALUATION  □ 2 - Mediocre	□ 2 Cofficient		□5 - Excellent	
□ 1 - Poor	⊔2 - Wediocre	□3 - Sufficient	⊠4 - Good		
5th FACTOR					
Convenience o	of the commercial cor	nditions applied			
EXPRESSYOUR EV	□2 - Mediocre	□2 Sufficient		⊠5 - Excellent	
□ 1 Poor	□2 - Mediocre	□3 - Sufficient	□4 - Good	∆5 - EXCEIIEIIL	
□ 1 - Poor					
□ 1 - Poor					





## INPUT CONTROL

MOD-814-F

INID	117	റ	N	D/	ai.
INP	U I	CU	ΝΙ	M	JL

INPOT CONTROL					
Constinuty	DO mumborana	Delivery date		Inspection d	ate:
Supplier:XXX	PO number:xxx	xx / xx / xxxx		xx / xx / xxxx	
	Elementcontrol	Unit from measur	Value detecte d	Value of reference	Resu It C/
	Documentation	//	here I'm	//	C.
	Times delivery	days	5	5	C.
Product control	Integrityof the packaging	·	suitable	//	C.
Product control		OF THE PRODUC			MENTS
Code:XX	REQUIREMENT	COMPLIANT		ION NON-COMF	
_	Requirement 1	$\boxtimes$			
Product:XX	Requirement 2	$\boxtimes$			
	Requirement 3		Product packaginghas been compromised during transportation. It highlights a danger of voluntary compromise of the device received.		
	Requirement 4	$\boxtimes$			
	Requirement 5	$\boxtimes$			
	Requirement no	$\boxtimes$			
N° pieces	XX		<u> </u>		
Non-compliance	N: 1 (Evaluate if this non-conformity, in a from process according to PROC-10 purchasewas carried out from an u	010- Non-conformit			
Resultverification	□ product acceptance □ product suspension □ waste product □ send tofor internal treatment □ request for technical assistance from the supplier □ madeto supplier □ other:				
Actionto be implemented					
Note					
				RDP (PURCH	•





PRODUCTION PLAN DATA							
Name / Type	Unit from	People m us		Date Start	Date end	Date end	NoteAnd documentationfro
product	to achieve	min.	max.	estimat	estimat	effectiv	m reference
Software for the management of land registry of the the	1	6	9	01/01/2022	03/06/2022	03/06/2022	MOD-813-F- Output planningof the 11/11/2021

Release	Expiration	Responsible	Check





### **RISK MANAGEMENT OFPRODUCTION**

- Indicaterisks relating to the continuity of production
- Indicate onlyrisks relating to the security of information relating to production activities contemplate in this planand not those that refer to production in general (the latter, in fact, are treated in the safety procedure governing operational safety PSI-12 Operational safety.

MANAGEMENT OF PRODUC	MANAGEMENT OF PRODUCTION RISKS ARISINGFROM PHYSICAL THREATS OR ASSETS MALFUNCTIONING						
Danger and / or risk for the information security dealt with in the process	Control arranged indicated by the Annex A of ISO 27001: 2017	Responsible for effectiveness control	Expirationfor implementat ion control	Check			





## PROPERTY'OF THE CUSTOMER

MOD-815-F

## PROPERTY'OF THE CUSTOMER

Customer	commonof Turin			
Properties acquired	State of the properties	Reasons for the	Date of acquisition	Expected date of
<ul> <li>HardDisk</li> <li>Intel 882/09/2341</li> <li>Format database         Microsoft Access</li> <li>Database         documentation         cadastral</li> <li>Cadastral documentation in finalized paper format to the design of the software of management of land registry</li> </ul>	Harddisk intact and free from virus  Databasevirus free  The documentationgoes from protocol 56/2006 al protocol 231/2019	References documentary for determination of the design outputs of the software	06/08/2021	20/04/2022

Identificationand traceability of the customer's property					
Cadastral documentation					
Date	Activities 'on the property' of the customer	Position	State	Checked	
12/12/21	Consultation	Design office	Intact	RDP (PROG)	
19/12/21	Typing	Design office	Intact	RDP (PROG)	
04/01/22	Segregation	Production office	Intact	RDP (PRO)	
	Preparation for return to client	Administration secretariat	Intact	ТО	

RDP (PRO) for acquisition	RDP (PROG)by acquisition	Customerfor acknowledgment
Name and signature	Name and signature	Name and signature





## **QUALITY CHECK'**

MOD-817-A

	10		١
-1	-	13	ŗ.

### Product / service nameand related code

North Area marketing strategy

By the quality control ma	nnager and the	By the RDP		
REQUIREMENT	NOT CONF.	TYPE NC	ACTION	SIGNATURE RESPONSIBLE
Normalizationdata	//			
Hypothesis testing on break even of the investment	//			
Analysessome data financial of investment	Analysis is missing of the investments	The non-compliance is of omissive type in that the requirement was foreseen analysissome data financial to purpose of support the decisions of investment	Carry out the analysissome data financialfor investments	RDP. (PRODUCTION)
Parameters of Statistical reliability	//			

Detection date	
Control manager	





SUBJECT OFMONITORING	RESPONSIBLE FOR PERFORMANCE	AT YOUR	RESPONSIBLE OF MONITORING	CHEC K.
Rolesand in the requirements	RDP (human resources)	15FEBRUARY	RGSI	
Estateof responsibility	High management	17MARCH	RGSI	
Managementof the network and of the	Administrator of net	21MARCH	RGSI	
Software management	RDP (production)	APRIL 22	RGSI	$\boxtimes$
Device management of processing	IT manager	APRIL 22	RGSI	
Managementof the headquarters and of the	High management	APRIL 22	RGSI	
Managementof plants and devices of safety	IT manager	APRIL 22	RGSI	$\boxtimes$
Training managementturning	RDP (human resources)	04 MAY	RGSI	$\boxtimes$
Managementtraining delivered	RDP (human resources)	04 MAY	RGSI	$\boxtimes$
Plan management formation	RDP (human resources)	07 MAY	RGSI	$\boxtimes$
Managementof communication (internaland	RDP (communication)	07 MAY	RGSI	$\boxtimes$
Selectionand the management of providers	RDP (outsourcing)	MAY 18	RGSI	$\boxtimes$
Product management not compliant	RDP (production)	MAY 18	RGSI	$\boxtimes$
Managementaudits and non compliance	RDP (production)	22JUNE	RGSI	

Date	
Signature	





INTERNAL AUDIT N °:	01
Audit execution date	22/01/2022
Addit execution date	· ·
Purpose of the audit	<ul> <li>Theaudit purposes are as follows:</li> <li>Verify existenceof the documentation relating to the indicated processes</li> <li>Verify compliance of documentation ai requirements of the standard 27001: 2017</li> <li>Check the conformity of the work performed the established procedures</li> <li>Check the application of the security checks established by procedures</li> </ul>
	Processesaudited
Object of the audit	<ul> <li>Teleworkingand information security</li> <li>Control of accesses</li> <li>Encryption</li> <li>Safetyphysical and environmental information</li> <li>Operational safety</li> <li>Safetycommunications</li> <li>Acquisition, systems development and maintenance</li> <li>Management of information security incidents</li> <li>Business continuity managementinformation security</li> <li>Compliance</li> </ul>
Kind of audit	
Audit Group	Responsible of audit: Eng. Marco Castaldi InternalAuditor: dr. Alessandro Rossi
Functionsinvolved in the verification	TO RGSI IT Manager Information system manager DPO Administrator of system RDP (asset manager)
Drafted on: 12/12/2021	Name and signature:

Date	
Author	





## **CALL OF MANAGEMENT REVIEW**

MOD-930-A

Convocationmanagement review	n Date	
•	nagers that the day xx / xx / xxxx, from xx: xx, is called the meeti	ng for
theManagement review, as per p	oint 9.3 of the ISO 27001 ed. 2017.	
I aminvited	<ul> <li>RGSI</li> <li>RDP DESIGN</li> <li>RDP PRODUCTION</li> <li>RDP MARKETING</li> <li>RDP PURCHASES</li> <li>Administrator of system</li> <li>IT Manager</li> <li>Information system manager</li> </ul>	
	Theinformation security policies (levels A and B)	$\boxtimes$
	Thestatus of actions resulting from previous reviews of direction	
	Thestate of the context in which the organization is operating(changes in internal / external	$\boxtimes$
	Do notcompliance and corrective actions	$\boxtimes$
During the meeting will be	Results of monitoring and measurement	$\boxtimes$
discussed the following order	Results in achieving the objectives	$\boxtimes$
of the day	Return information of interested parties	$\boxtimes$
,	The results of the risk assessment and the status of the floorInformation security plan	$\boxtimes$
	The opportunities forcontinuous improvement	$\boxtimes$
	Evidence relating to accidentssecurity	$\boxtimes$
	Evaluations ofimpact on system changes	$\boxtimes$
	Confidentiality Agreements or non-disclosure	$\boxtimes$
	Analysis oflogs that trace the activities carried out on	$\boxtimes$
	The access rights of users of the information system	$\boxtimes$
	rded to those summoned in the form:	
SignatureTO		





## **NON-CONFORMITY REPORT**

MOD-1010-A

RELATIONSHIP					
Relationshipof NC n °:	08		Date: 12/21/2022		
⊠Greater □Minor №	⊠Greater □Minor ⊠Danger				
NON-CONFORMITY RELA	NON-CONFORMITY RELATING TO THE STANDARD ISO 27001: 2017				
-	[check 7.2.2] Awareness, information security education, training and training All organization staffand, when				
relevant, collaborators must receive adequate awareness, instruction, education and training and periodic updates					
on organizational policies	and procedures, in a way r	elevantto their work activity.			
	Who took it over	Administrator of system			
	At what day and at what	Administrator of system 20/12/2022 at 18:30			
	At what day and at what	Report of the log management	software (alert)		
Detection of the NC	With which device	■ Video surveillance	software (alert)		
(anomaly, attack)	On the occasion of	Ordinary monitoring			
	Criticality level of	,			
	information potentially	⊠Level A □Level B			
	involved				
	An unauthorized access att	empt was made from PC2 - Administ	tration across the		
		Central Server Design Disk.	ration, across the		
	, ,	· ·			
		nistration), identified by video survei			
	1 7	cation for accessto the network, he ra s to the information system:	in the following		
	·	·			
Description of the NC		gin page of the information system			
(anomaly, attack)	<ul> <li>Entered an incorrect pa</li> <li>He restarted the compa</li> </ul>				
	The restancea the comp	uter :he network using biometric identific	ation		
	_	gin page of the information system a			
	<ul> <li>He typed an incorrect p</li> </ul>		Pa		
	<ul> <li>You have logged out from</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>He turned off the comp</li> </ul>				
Processes involved					
	The access attempt was ma	ade to the information processed by	primary processes. The		
Damage to devices	-	ical requirements and projects may be			
Damage to the					
information	Do notdamage to systems a	and information is reported			
ormation					
	Mr. Mario Rossi,in an atter	mpt to stealthily access information	relating to		
Analysis of the causes	1	ess the area reserved for them.	-		
of the NC	Hypothesis 1:				
		of the authentication expected from	the access control		
(Investigative	_	inefficiency or random checks that a			
hypotheses) take advantageof a technical weakness and stealing information without being identific					





Executive document index
<ul> <li>Report on the data collected in the year 2022</li> </ul>
Methods of data analysis
<ul> <li>Results of the analysis</li> </ul>
Swot Analysis
<ul> <li>Definition of the desired scenario</li> </ul>
■ Risk analysis
<ul> <li>Planninggeneral</li> </ul>
Executive planning
- Executive planning
Relationon the data collected
Modeof data analysis